arXiv:1506.01363v1 [math.CV] 3 Jun 2015

Simultaneous Universal Padé Approximation

K. Makridis and V. Nestoridis [*]

June 4, 2015

Abstract

We prove simultaneous universal Padé approximation for several universal Padé approximants of several types. Our results are generic in the space of holomorphic functions, in the space of formal power series as well as in a subspace of A^{∞}. These results are valid for one center of expansion or for several centers as well.

AMS clasification numbers: 30K05.

Keywords and phrases: Power series, holomorphic function, Padé approximant, Baire's theorem, chordal distance, algebraic genericity.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain, $\zeta \in \Omega$ and μ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. A holomorphic function $f \in H(\Omega)$ is called universal Taylor series if for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement and for every funcion $h \in A(K)$, there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mu$ satisfying the following:

(i) $\sup_{z \in K} |S_{\lambda_n}(f, \zeta)(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(ii) $\sup_{z \in J} |S_{\lambda_n}(f, \zeta)(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$.

Here $S_N(f, \zeta)(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{f^{(j)}(\zeta)}{j!}(z - \zeta)^j$ is the Taylor expansion of the function f centered at $\zeta \in \Omega$. This is a generic property of holomorphic functions ([17], [15], [11]).

---

[*] Dedicated to Professor C. Gryllakis for his 60^{th} birthday.

Recently, the partial sums have been replaced by some rational functions, the Padé approximants of f.

Let $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}a_n(z-\zeta)^n$ be a formal power series with center $\zeta\in\Omega$ and $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$. Then the (p,q) - Padé approximant of f with center $\zeta\in\Omega$ is a rational function $[f;p/q]_\zeta(z)=\frac{A(z)}{B(z)}$, where the polynomials A and B satisfy $degA\leq p$, $degB\leq q$, $B(\zeta)=1$ and the Taylor expansion of the function $\frac{A(z)}{B(z)}=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}b_n(z-\zeta)^n$ satisfies $a_n=b_n$ for every $n\leq p+q$. Such a rational function may exists or not, but if it exists it is unique. Furhtermore, when the function $[f;p/q]_\zeta$ exists and the polynomials A and B are unique, we write $f\in D_{p,q}(\zeta)$. There are two types of universal Padé approximants.

Type I ([4], [5]) Let $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{N}$ with $p_n\to+\infty$, $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $\zeta\in\Omega$ be a fixed point. A holomorphic function $f\in H(\Omega)$ with Taylor expansion $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta)}{n!}(z-\zeta)^n$ has universal Padé approximants of Type I if for every compact set $K\subseteq\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h\in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following:

(i) $f\in D_{p_{k_n},q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $\sup_{z\in K}|[f;p_{k_n}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z)-h(z)|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$.

(iii) $\sup_{z\in J}|[f;p_{k_n}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z)-f(z)|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$, for every compact set $J\subseteq\Omega$.

Here the uniform convergences are meant with respect to the usual Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{C}$. The set of universal Padé approximants of Type I is a dense and G_δ subset of $H(\Omega)$, where the space $H(\Omega)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. If $q_n=0$, then this class of functions coincides with the class of universal Taylor series.

Type II ([16]) Let $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ with $p_n,q_n\to+\infty$, $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $\zeta\in\Omega$ be a fixed point. A holomorphic function $f\in H(\Omega)$ with Taylor expansion $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta)}{n!}(z-\zeta)^n$ has universal Padé approximants of Type II if for every compact set $K\subseteq\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complement and for every rational function h, there exist two subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequences $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ respectively satisfying the following:

(i) $f\in D_{p_{k_n},q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $\sup_{z\in K}\chi([f;p_{k_n}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z),h(z))\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$. The metric χ is the well - known distance defined on $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$.

(iii) $\sup_{z\in J}|[f;p_{k_n}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z)-f(z)|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$ for every compact set $J\subseteq\Omega$.

The set of such functions is dense and G_δ subset of $H(\Omega)$, where the space $H(\Omega)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta.

The universal Padé approximants of Type I may be combined with universal Taylor series to yield simultaneous universal Padé - Taylor approximation with the same indexes p_{k_n} ([9], [10]). Generally speaking, for any finite set of universal Padé approximants of Type I with the same sequence $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we can prove simultaneous universal Padé approximations with the same subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In fact, we prove the following stronger theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ with $p_n\to+\infty$. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $q_1^{(n)},\cdots,q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\in\mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $\zeta\in\Omega$ be a fixed point. Then there exists a holomorphic function $f\in H(\Omega)$ with Taylor expansion $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta)}{n!}(z-\zeta)^n$ such that for every compact set $K\subseteq\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h\in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following:

(i) $f\in D_{p_{k_n},q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $j\in\{1,\cdots,N(k_n)\}$ and for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $\sup_{z\in K}|[f;p_{k_n}/q_{\sigma(k_n)}^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z)-h(z)|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$ for every selection $\sigma:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sigma(k_n)\in\{1,\cdots,N(k_n)\}$.

(iii) $\sup_{z\in J}|[f;p_{k_n}/q_{\sigma(k_n)}^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z)-f(z)|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$ for every compact set $J\subseteq\Omega$ and for every selection $\sigma:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sigma(k_n)\in\{1,\cdots,N(k_n)\}$.

Moreover, the set of all such functions is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$, where the space $H(\Omega)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta.

Furthermore we obtain a similar result where we have one q_n and finite many $p_1^{(n)},\cdots,p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\min\{p_1^{(n)},\cdots,p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\}\to+\infty$. See Theorem 4.1 below.

These results are contained in section 3. In section 4 we prove the analogue results of Type II. We mention that we can obtain similar results for all centers of expansion simultaneously to be generic on a subspace of $A^\infty(\Omega)$ as well as of Seleznev type in the space of all formal power series. In section 5 we modify the known construction of universal Taylor series and we conclude that the class $\mathcal{A}$ of all functions satisfying Theorem 1.1 contains a dense and affine supspace of $H(\Omega)$. Further, we prove algebraic genericity for a class of functions slightly larger than the class $\mathcal{A}$. The difference is that instead of (i) of Theorem 1.1 we demand only that the functions $[f; p_{k_n}/q^{(k_n)}_{\sigma(k_n)}]_\zeta$ exist.

Finally section 2 contains some preliminaries for Padé approximants and the chordal distance.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and f a formal power series with center ζ:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k (z-\zeta)^k.$$

Now, for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider a function of the form:

$$[f; p/q]_\zeta(z) = \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}$$

where the functions $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are polynomials such that $deg(A(z)) \leq p$, $deg(B(z)) \leq q$, $B(\zeta) = 1$ and also the Taylor expansion of the function $[f; p/q]_\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b_k (z-\zeta)^k$ (with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$) satisfies:

$$a_k = b_k \text{ for every } k = 0, \cdots p+q.$$

If such a rational function exists, it is called the (p, q) - Padé approximant of f. Very often the power series f is the Taylor development of a holomorphic function with center ζ; a point in its domain of definition.

Remark 2.2. According to Definition 2.1 we obtain that for $q = 0$ the $(p, 0)$ - Padé approximant of f exists trivially for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, since:

$$[f; p/0]_\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} a_k (z-\zeta)^k$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2.3. For $q \geq 1$ Definition 2.1 does not necessarily implies the existence of Padé approximants. However, if a Padé approximant exists then it is unique as a rational funtion. It is known ([1]) that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of the polynomials $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ above is that the following $q \times q$ Hankel determinant:

$$D_{p,q}(f,\zeta) = det \begin{vmatrix} a_{p-q+1} & a_{p-q+2} & \cdots & a_p \\ a_{p-q+2} & a_{p-q+3} & \cdots & a_{p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_p & a_{p+1} & \cdots & a_{p+q+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

is not equal to 0, i.e. $D_{p,q}(f,\zeta) \neq 0$. In the previous determinant we set $a_k = 0$ for every $k < 0$. In addition, if $D_{p,q}(f,\zeta) \neq 0$ we also write $f \in D_{p,q}(\zeta)$.

In this case, the (p,q) - Padé approximant of f (with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$) is given by the following formula:

$$[f;p/q]_\zeta(z) = \frac{A(f,\zeta)(z)}{B(f,\zeta)(z)}$$

where

$$A(f,\zeta)(z) =$$

$$= det \begin{vmatrix} (z-\zeta)^q S_{p-q}(f,\zeta)(z) & (z-\zeta)^{q-1} S_{p-q+1}(f,\zeta)(z) & \cdots & S_p(f,\zeta)(z) \\ a_{p-q+1} & a_{p-q+2} & \cdots & a_{p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_p & a_{p+1} & \cdots & a_{p+q} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$B(f,\zeta)(z) = det \begin{vmatrix} (z-\zeta)^q & (z-\zeta)^{q-1} & \cdots & 1 \\ a_{p-q+1} & a_{p-q+2} & \cdots & a_{p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_p & a_{p+1} & \cdots & a_{p+q} \end{vmatrix}$$

with

$$S_k(f,\zeta)(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i (z-\zeta)^i & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}.$$

The previous relations are called Jacobi formulas. Also, in this case, we notice that the polynomials $A(f,\zeta)(z)$ and $B(f,\zeta)(z)$ do not have any common zeros in $\mathbb{C}$ provided that $f \in D_{p,q}(\zeta)$.

We will also make use of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. ([1]) Let $f(z) = \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}$ be a rational function where the functions $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are polynomials with $deg(A(z)) = p_0$ and $deg(B(z)) = q_0$. In addition, suppose that $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ do not have any common zero in $\mathbb{C}$. Then for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(\zeta) \neq 0$ we have:

(i) $f \in D_{p_0,q_0}(\zeta)$.

(ii) $f \in D_{p,q_0}(\zeta)$ for every $p \geq p_0$.

(iii) $f \in D_{p_0,q}(\zeta)$ for every $q \geq q_0$.

Moreover, for every $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $p > p_0$ and $q > q_0$ we have:

$$f \notin D_{p,q}(\zeta).$$

In all cases above we obtain that $f(z) \equiv [f; p/q]_\zeta(z)$.

In some of the following results we make use of the chordal metric χ, a metric defined on $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. This is a well - known metric, given by the following relations:

For every $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, it holds:

$$\chi(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{|a-b|}{\sqrt{1+a^2}\cdot\sqrt{1+b^2}} & \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{C} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } b = \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } a = b = \infty \end{cases}$$

We notice that for every $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ it holds $\chi(a, b) \leq |a - b|$. In addition, $\chi(a, b) = \chi(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b})$ for every $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

If $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a set and a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n\geq1} : K \to \mathbb{C}$ converges uniformly to a function $f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ with respect to the Euclidean metric $|\cdot|$, so does with respect to χ. Moreover, the metrics $|\cdot|$ and χ are uniformly equivalent on every compact subset K of $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, if $\{f_n\}_{n\geq1} : E \to K$ converges χ - uniformly on E towards a function $f : E \to K$, then automatically the convergence is also uniform with respect to $|\cdot|$.

3 Simultaneous approximation for Universal Padé approximants of Type I

We will need the following well - known lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. ([11], [15], [17]) Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{K_m\}_{m\geq1}$ of compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complements, such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complement, there exists an index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $K \subseteq K_m$.

Lemma 3.2. (Existence of exhausting family; [19]) Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{L_k\}_{k\geq1}$ of compact subsets of Ω such that:

(i) $L_k \subseteq L^o_{k+1}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) For every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$ there exists an index $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L \subseteq L_k$.

(iii) Every connected component of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L_k$ contains at least one connected component of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Omega$.

We present now the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $L \subseteq \Omega$ be a compact set. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in J} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an enumeration of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$.

Now, for every $i, s, n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots N(n)\}$ we consider the following sets:

$$A(i,s,m,n,j) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K_m} |[f; p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z) - f_i(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$A(i,s,m,n) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

and for every $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N(n)$ and also

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K_m} |[f; p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z) - f_i(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\} \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i, s, m, n, j).$$

$$B(s, k, n, j) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L_k} |[f; p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$B(s, k, n) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

and for every $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N(n)$ and also

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L_k} |[f; p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\} \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s, k, n, j).$$

One can verify (mainly by using Mergelyan's theorem) that if $\mathcal{U}$ is the set of all functions satisfying $(1) - (3)$, then it holds:

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{i,s,k,m\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(i, s, m, n) \cap B(s, k, n) =$$

$$\bigcap_{i,s,k,m\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i, s, m, n, j) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s, k, n, j)$$

Since $H(\Omega)$ is a complete metric space, according to Baire's theorem, it suffices to prove the following:

Claim 3.4. For every $i, s, n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots N(n)\}$ the sets $A(i, s, m, n, j)$ and $B(s, k, n, j)$ are open in $H(\Omega)$.

Claim 3.5. For every $i, s, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\mathcal{U}(i, s, k, m) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(i, s, m, n) \cap B(s, k, n) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i, s, m, n, j) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s, k, n, j)$ is dense in $H(\Omega)$.

3.1 $A(i, s, m, n, j)$ and $B(s, k, n, j)$ are open in $H(\Omega)$

The sets $A(i, s, m, n, j)$ have been proven to be open in [11] for $q_j^{(n)} = 0$ and in [9] and [10] for $q_j^{(n)} \geq 1$. The sets $B(s, k, n, j)$ have been proven to be open in [7] for $q_j^{(n)} \geq 1$ and in [11] for $q_j^{(n)} = 0$. For complete proofs of the above facts we also refer to [10].

3.2 Density of $\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)$

In order to prove Claim 3.5 we fix $i,s,k,m\in\mathbb{N}$ and we want to prove that the set:

$$\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A(i,s,m,n)\cap B(s,k,n)=$$

$$=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)}A(i,s,m,n,j)\cap\bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)}B(s,k,n,j)$$

is a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$.

Let $g\in H(\Omega)$, $L'\subseteq\Omega$ be a compact set and $\varepsilon>0$. Our aim is to find a function $u\in\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)$ such that $\sup_{z\in L'}|u(z)-g(z)|<\varepsilon$. There is no problem if we assume that $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{s}$. According to Lemma 3.2, we are able to find an index $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $L\cup L'\cup L_k\subseteq L_{n_0}$.

Since L_{n_0} and K_m are disjoint compact sets with connected complements, the set $L_{n_0}\cup K_m$ is also a compact set with connected complement.

Consider now the following function:

$$w(z)=\begin{cases}f_i(z) & \text{if } z\in K_m\\ g(z) & \text{if } z\in L_{n_0}\end{cases}.$$

The function w is well - defined (because $L_{n_0}\cap K_m=\emptyset$) and also $w\in A(L_{n_0}\cup K_m)$. We apply Mergelyan's theorem and so we find a polynomial p such that $\sup_{L_{n_0}\cup K_m}|w(z)-p(z)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Our assumption that $p_n\to+\infty$ allows us to find an index $p_{k_n}\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $p_{k_n}>deg(p(z))$.

Let $u(z)=p(z)+dz^{p_{k_n}}$, where $d\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and $|d|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{\sup_{z\in L_{n_0}\cup K_m}|z^{p_{k_n}}|}$. It is immediate that the function u is a polynomial with $deg(u(z))=p_{k_n}$. We also notice that it holds $\sup_{z\in L_{n_0}\cup K_m}|u(z)-w(z)|<\varepsilon$.

In order to complete the proof we have to verify that $u\in\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)$; we verify the following:

(i) $u\in A(i,s,m,k_n,j)$ for $j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)$. Since u is a polynomial with $deg(u(z))=p_{k_n}$ we have that for every $\zeta\in L$ it holds $u\in D_{p_{k_n},0}(\zeta)$. It follows that $u\in D_{p_{k_n},q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $j=1,2,\cdots,N(k_n)$. We also have:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)}\sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in K_m}|[u;p_n/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z)-f_i(z)|=$$

$$=\sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in K_m}|[u(z)-f_i(z)|<\frac{1}{s}$$

because $[u;p_n/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z)=u(z)$ for every $\zeta\in L$ and for every $j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)$, according to Proposition 2.4.

(ii) $u \in B(s,k,k_n,j)$ for $j = 1,\cdots,N(k_n)$. Here we have only to verify that:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L_k} |[u; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - u(z)| = 0 < \frac{1}{s}.$$

This also holds because $[u; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) = u(z)$.

Since $u \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i,s,m,n,j) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s,k,n,j)$, it follows that $u \in \mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)$. Baire's theorem yields the result.

■

We present now two consequences of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed point. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq1}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1,\cdots,N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in J} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.3 for $L = \{\zeta\}$.

■

Theorem 3.7. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$ there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq1}$ such that:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$, there exists a $n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \geq n(L)$ (where $n(L)$ is a natural number depending on L) and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in K} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in L} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all functions satisfying Theorem 3.7. We apply Theorem 3.3 for $L = L_k$ (and that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$) and so we obtain a G_δ - dense class in $H(\Omega)$; the class $\mathcal{C}_k$. The reader is prompted to verify that $\mathcal{C} = \cap_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_k$. The result follows from Baire's theorem.

■

We prove now a theorem analogue to Theorem 3.3, where the roles of p and q have been interchanged.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $L \subseteq \Omega$ be a compact set. We consider an arbitrary sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ (may be bounded or unbounded). Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number, such that:

$$\min_{j \in \{1, \cdots, N(n)\}} \{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$$

as $n \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$ there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in K} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in J} |[f;p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an enumeration of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}+i\mathbb{Q}$.

Now, for every $i,s,n,k,m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1,\cdots N(n)\}$ we consider the following sets:

$$A(i,s,m,n,j) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_j^{(n)},q_n}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K_m} |[f;p_j^{(n)}/q_n]_\zeta(z) - f_i(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$A(i,s,m,n) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_j^{(n)},q_n}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

$$\text{and for every } j=1,2,\cdots,N(n) \text{ and also}$$

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K_m} |[f;p_j^{(n)}/q_n]_\zeta(z) - f_i(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\} \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i,s,m,n,j).$$

$$B(s,k,n,j) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n,q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L_k} |[f;p_j^{(n)}/q_n]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$B(s,k,n) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_j^{(n)},q_n}(\zeta) \text{ for every } \zeta \in L$$

$$\text{and for every } j=1,2,\cdots,N(n) \text{ and also}$$

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L_k} |[f;p_j^{(n)}/q_n]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\} \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s,k,n,j).$$

One can verify (mainly by using Mergelyan's theorem) that if $\mathcal{U}$ is the set of all functions satisfying $(1)-(3)$, then it holds:

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{i,s,k,m\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(i,s,m,n) \cap B(s,k,n) =$$

$$= \bigcap_{i,s,k,m\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Big[\bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i,s,m,n,j) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s,k,n,j) \Big].$$

Since $H(\Omega)$ is a complete metric space, according to Baire's theorem, it suffices to prove the following:

Claim 3.9. For every $i,s,n,k,m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots N(n)\}$ the sets $A(i,s,m,n,j)$ and $B(s,k,n,j)$ are open in $H(\Omega)$.

Claim 3.10. For every $i,s,k,m \in \mathbb{N}$ the set:

$$\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(i,s,m,n) \cap B(s,k,n) =$$

$$= \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i,s,m,n,j) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s,k,n,j)$$

is dense in $H(\Omega)$.

3.3 $A(i,s,m,n,j)$ and $B(s,k,n,j)$ are open in $H(\Omega)$

The sets $A(i,s,m,n,j)$ have been proven to be open in [11] for $q_j = 0$ and in [9] and [10] for $q_j \geq 1$. The sets $B(s,k,n,j)$ have been proven to be open in [7] for $q_j \geq 1$ and in [11] for $q_j = 0$. For complete proofs of the above facts we also refer to [10].

3.4 Density of $\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)$

In order to prove Claim 3.10 we fix $i,s,k,m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we want to prove that the set:

$$\mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(i,s,m,n) \cap B(s,k,n) =$$

$$= \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(i,s,m,n,j) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(s,k,n,j)$$

is a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$.

Let $g \in H(\Omega)$, $L' \subseteq \Omega$ be a compact set and $\varepsilon > 0$. Our aim is to find a function $u \in \mathcal{U}(i,s,k,m)$ such that $\sup_{z\in L'} |u(z) - g(z)| < \varepsilon$. There is no problem if we assume that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{s}$. According to Lemma 3.2, we are able to find an index $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $L \cup L' \cup L_k \subseteq L_{n_0}$.

Since L_{n_0} and K_m are disjoint compact sets with connected complements, the set $L_{n_0} \cup K_m$ is also a compact set with connected complement.

Consider now the following function:

$$w(z) = \begin{cases} f_i(z) & \text{if } z \in K_m \\ g(z) & \text{if } z \in L_{n_0} \end{cases}$$

The function w is well defined (because $L_{n_0} \cap K_m = \emptyset$) and also $w \in A(L_{n_0} \cup K_m)$. We apply Mergelyan's theorem and we find a polynomial p such that $\sup_{L_{n_0} \cup K_m} |w(z) - p(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Since:

$$\min_{j \in \{1, \cdots, N(n)\}} \{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$$

as $n \to +\infty$, there exists an index $k_{n_1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\min_{j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_{n_1})\}} \{p_1^{(k_{n_1})}, p_2^{(k_{n_1})}, \cdots, p_{N(k_{n_1})}^{(k_{n_1})}\} > deg(p(z)).$$

Consider the function:

$$u(z) = \frac{p(z)}{1 + dz^{q_{k_{n_1}}}}$$

where $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < |d|$ is small enough. We notice that it holds:

$$\sup_{L_{n_0} \cup K_m} |p(z) - u(z)| = \sup_{L_{n_0} \cup K_m} |p(z) - \frac{p(z)}{1 + dz^{q_{k_{n_1}}}}| = \sup_{L_{n_0} \cup K_m} |\frac{dz^{q_{k_{n_1}}} p(z)}{1 + dz^{q_{k_{n_1}}}}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

provided that $0 < |d|$ is small enough. It follows that $\sup_{L_{n_0} \cup K_m} |w(z) - u(z)| < \varepsilon$. In order to show that $u \in \mathcal{U}(i, s, k, m)$, we verify the following:

(1) $u \in D_{p_j^{(k_{n_1})}, q_{k_{n_1}}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L_{n_0} \cup K$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_{n_1})\}$, according to Proposition 2.4. In particular, this holds for every $\zeta \in L$.

(2) $[u; p_j^{(k_{n_1})} / q_{k_{n_1}}]_\zeta(z) = u(z)$ for every $\zeta \in L_{n_0} \cup K$, for every $z \in K$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_{n_1})\}$, according to Proposition 2.4. In particular, this holds for every $\zeta \in L$.

The result follows from Baire's theorem.

■

We state now two consequences of Theorem 3.8 without proofs.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $\zeta \in \Omega$ a fixed point. We consider an arbitrary sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ (may be bounded or unbounded). Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number, such that:

$$\min_{j \in \{1, \cdots, N(n)\}} \{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$$

as $n \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z \in K} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z \in J} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain. We consider an arbitrary sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ (may be bounded or unbounded). Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number, such that:

$$\min_{j \in \{1, \cdots, N(n)\}} \{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$$

as $n \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$, there exists a $n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \geq n(L)$, for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$ and for every $\zeta \in L$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z \in K} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in L} |[f;p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

We notice that the previous results have variants in several spaces with similar proofs. See for instance [10]. We only mention the variants of Seleznev type and in a closed subspace of $A^\infty(\Omega)$. The proofs are similar to the ones of Theorem 3.3 - Theorem 3.7.

Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ endowed with the Cartesian topology. A well - known result is that $\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ is a metrizable topological space; the same topology on $\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ can be induced by the following metric:

For every $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ with $a \equiv (a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $b \equiv (b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ we define :

$$\rho_c(a,b) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}\frac{|a_n-b_n|}{1+|a_n-b_n|}.$$

We know that $(\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}, \rho_c)$ is a complete metric space.

Another metric that can be introduced on $\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ giving a different topology from the Cartesian one is the following:

For every $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ with $a \equiv (a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $b \equiv (b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ we define :

$$\rho_d(a,b) = \begin{cases} 2^{-n_0} & \text{if } a \neq b \text{ (where } n_0 = min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \neq b_n\}) \\ 0 & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}$$

It is also true that $(\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}, \rho_d)$ is a complete metric space. Moreover, one can see that $\rho_c \leq 2\rho_d$.

Theorem 3.13. Let $(p_n)_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_0^{(n)}, q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Then there exists an element $a \equiv (a_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ such that the formal power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with connected complement and for every function $\psi \in A(K)$ there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 0}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(0)$ for every $j \in \{0, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $\max_{j=0,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} |[f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_0(z) - \psi(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

The set of all $a \equiv (a_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (1) − (2) is dense and G_δ in both spaces $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_d)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_c)$.

Proof. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an enumeration of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}+i\mathbb{Q}$ and $\{K_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ be a (fixed) absorbing family of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Now, for every $i, m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{0, \cdots, N(n)\}$ we consider the following sets:

$$X(i,j,m,n,s) = \{a \equiv (a_0, a_1, \cdots) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \text{ the formal power series}$$

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n \text{ satisfies } f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(p_n)}}(0)$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{z\in K_m} |[f;p_n/q_j^{(p_n)}]_0(z) - f_i(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$X(i,m,n,s) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{N(n)} X(i,j,m,n,s) =$$

$$= \{a \equiv (a_0, a_1, \cdots) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \text{ the formal power series} f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$$

$$\text{satisfies } f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(p_n)}}(0) \text{ for every } j \in \{0, \cdots, N(n)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_{z\in K_m} |[f;p_n/q_j^{(p_n)}]_0(z) - f_i(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\} \text{ for every } j \in \{0, \cdots, N(n)\}.$$

One can verify that if $\mathcal{X}$ is the set of all functions satisfying (1) − (2), then it holds:

$$\mathcal{X} = \bigcap_{i,m,s} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X(i,m,n,s).$$

The sets $X(i,j,m,n,s)$ have been proven to be open in both spaces $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_d)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_c)$ in [10], thus the set $X(i,m,n,s)$ is also open as a finite intersection of the previous sets. On the other hand, the set $\mathcal{X}(i,m,s) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X(i,m,n,s)$ is dense in $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_d)$ (and so does in $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_c)$ since $\rho_c \leq 2\rho_d$); the proof is almost the same as the one of the corresponding part of Theorem 3.1 in [10] and is omitted. The result follows from Baire's theorem.

■

Next we state some other results whose proofs are similar to the previous ones and are omitted.

Theorem 3.14. Let $(q_n)_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an abitrary sequence (may be bounded or unbounded). Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_0^{(n)}, p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose that:

$$\min_{j\in\{0,\cdots,N(n)\}} \{p_0^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty.$$

Then there exists an element $a \equiv (a_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the formal power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with connected complement and for every function $\psi \in A(K)$ there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 0}$ of $(q_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(0)$ for every $j \in \{0, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $\max_{j=0,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_0(z) - \psi(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

The set of all $a \equiv (a_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $(1) - (2)$ is dense and G_δ in both spaces $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_d)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_c)$.

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. We say that a holomorphic function f defined on Ω belongs to $A^\infty(\Omega)$ if for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ the l-th derivative $f^{(l)}$ of f extends continuously on $\overline{\Omega}$. In $A^\infty(\Omega)$, we consider the topology defined by the seminorms $\sup_{z\in L_k} |f^{(l)}(z)|$, for every $k \geq 1$ and for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{L_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a family of compact subsets of $\overline{\Omega}$ such that for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ there exists an index $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $L \subseteq L_k$. Such a family for example is obtained by setting $L_k = \overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{B}(0, k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. With this topology, $A^\infty(\Omega)$ becomes a Fréchet space.

We call $X^\infty(\Omega)$ the closure in $A^\infty(\Omega)$ of all the rational functions with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$. If $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connectet, then the polynomials are dense in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

We state now without proof two generic results in $X^\infty(\Omega)$ analogue to the previous results.

Theorem 3.15. Let $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Also, let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connected. Then there exists a function $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, there exists a $n \equiv n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \geq n(L)$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in L} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in K} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$.

The set of all functions satisfying $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.16. Let $(q_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary sequence (may be bounded or unbounded). Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose that:

$$\min_{j \in \{0, \cdots, N(n)\}} \{p_0^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty.$$

Also, let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connected. Then there exists a function $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ so that the following holds:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, there exists a $n \equiv n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \geq n(L)$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds:

$$\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in L} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in K} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions satisfying $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

4 Simultaneous approximation for universal Padé approximants of Type II

In this section we prove for universal Padé approximants of type II results as in the previous section and thus we strengthen results from [16].

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $L, L' \subseteq \Omega$ two compact sets. Let also $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ be another compact set. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that:

$$\min\{q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L'} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an enumeration of all rational functions with the coefficients of the numerator and denominator in $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$. There is also no problem to assume that for every $i \geq 1$, the numerator and the denominator do not have any common zeros in $\mathbb{C}$.

Now, for every $i, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots N(n)\}$ we consider the following sets:

$$A(j,n,s) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L'} |[f; p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$A(n,s) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n, q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } j = 1, 2, \cdots, N(n)$$

$$\text{and} \max_{j=1,\cdots,N(n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in L'} |[f;p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| < \frac{1}{s}\} \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(j,n,s).$$

$$B(i,j,n,s) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n,q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in K} \chi([f;p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z), f_i(z)) < \frac{1}{s}\}.$$

$$B(i,n,s) = \{f \in H(\Omega) : f \in D_{p_n,q_j^{(n)}}(\zeta) \text{ for every } j = 1,2,\cdots,N(n)$$

$$\text{and} \max_{j=1,\cdots,N(n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in K} \chi([f;p_n/q_j^{(n)}]_\zeta(z), f_i(z)) < \frac{1}{s}\} \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(i,j,n,s).$$

One can verify that if $\mathcal{U}$ is the set of all functions satisfying (1) − (3), then it holds:

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{i,s\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(n,s) \cap B(i,n,s) =$$

$$= \bigcap_{i,s\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Big[\bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(j,n,s) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(i,j,n,s) \Big].$$

The sets $A(j,n,s)$ and $B(i,j,n,s)$ have been proven to be open for every parameter in [16]. Thus, the sets $A(n,s) \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} A(j,n,s)$ and $B(i,n,s) \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^{N(n)} B(i,j,n,s)$ are also open, as a finite intersection of open sets. It follows that $\mathcal{U}$ is a G_δ subset of $H(\Omega)$.

4.1 Density of $\mathcal{U}(i,s)$

In order to use Baire's theorem, we fix the parameters $i,s \in \mathbb{N}$ and we want to prove that the set:

$$\mathcal{U}(i,s) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A(n,s) \cap B(i,n,s)$$

is dense in $H(\Omega)$.

Let $L'' \subseteq \Omega$ be a compact set, $\phi \in H(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We assume that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{s}$. Our aim is to find a function $g \in \mathcal{U}(i,s)$ such that:

$$\sup_{z\in L''} |\phi(z) - g(z)| < \varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $L \cup L' \subseteq (L'')^o$ and also that every connected component of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus L''$ contains a connected component of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \Omega$. For instance, that can be achieved by using Lemma 3.2.

Consider now the following function:

$$w(z) = \begin{cases} f_i(z) & \text{if } z \in K \\ \phi(z) & \text{if } z \in L'' \end{cases}.$$

The set of poles of f_i on K is finite; let μ denote the sum of the principal parts of f_i on these poles. So, the function $\omega - \mu$ is holomorphic in an open set containing $L'' \cup K$. We apply Runge's theorem to approximate the function $\omega - \mu$ uniformly on $L'' \cup K$ with respect to the Euclidean distance by a sequence of rational functions:

$$\frac{\tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)}$$

So, there exists a natural number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following:

$$\sup_{z \in L'' \cup K} |(\omega(z) - \mu(z)) - \frac{\tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for every } n \geq n_0.$$

In paricular, $\tilde{B}_n(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in L'' \cup K$ and for every $n \geq n_0$. There is also no problem to assume that the polynomials $\tilde{A}_n(z)$ and $\tilde{B}_n(z)$ have no common zeros in $\mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, the sequence of functions:

$$\mu(z) + \frac{\tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)} = \frac{A_n(z)}{B_n(z)}$$

defined for $n \geq n_0$, satisfies:

$$\sup_{z \in K} \chi(f_i(z), \mu(z)) + \frac{\tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in L''} |\phi(z) - \mu(z)) - \frac{\tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for every $n \geq n_0$.

We notice that the polynomials $A_n(z)$ and $B_n(z)$ have no common zeros in $\mathbb{C}$, since:

$$\mu(z) + \frac{\tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)} = \frac{\mu(z) + \tilde{A}_n(z)}{\tilde{B}_n(z)} = \frac{A_n(z)}{B_n(z)}$$

and also that $B_n(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in L''$ and for every $n \geq n_0$, because the polynomials $\tilde{B}_n(z)$ have the same property for every $n \geq n_0$.

Since $p_n \to +\infty$ and $\min\{q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$, there exists an index $k_{n_0} > n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$p_{k_{n_0}} > \max\{deg(A_{n_0}(z)), deg(B_{n_0}(z))\}$$

and

$$\min\{q_1^{(k_{n_0})}, q_2^{(k_{n_0})}, \cdots, q_{N(k_{n_0})}^{(k_{n_0})}\} > deg(B_{n_0}(z)).$$

We set $t = p_{k_{n_0}} - deg(B_{n_0}(z))$ and we consider the function:

$$\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t = \frac{A_{n_0}(z) + dz^t B_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)}.$$

Now, for every $d \in \mathbb{C}$, the polynomials $A_{n_0}(z) + dz^t B_{n_0}(z)$ and $B_{n_0}(z)$ do not have any common zero in $\mathbb{C}$, because the polynomials $A_{n_0}(z)$ and $B_{n_0}(z)$ do so. If the parameter $d \in \mathbb{C}$ is close to zero (it suffices to demand $d \cdot \sup_{z \in L'' \cup K} |z^t| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$), then:

$$\sup_{z \in K} \chi(\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t, \omega(z)) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in L''} |\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t - \omega(z)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $deg(B_{n_0}(z)) < \min\{q_1^{(k_{n_0})}, q_2^{(k_{n_0})}, \cdots, q_{N(k_{n_0})}^{(k_{n_0})}\}$, for $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we have the following:

(1) $deg(A_{n_0}(z) + dz^t B_{n_0}(z)) = p_{k_{n_0}}$.

(2) According to Proposition 2.4 it holds:

$$\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t = \frac{A_{n_0}(z) + dz^t B_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} \in D_{p_{k_{n_0}}, q_j^{(k_{n_0})}}(\zeta)$$

for every $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N(k_{n_0})\}$ and for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B_{n_0}(\zeta) \neq 0$; in particular this holds for every $\zeta \in L$.

(3)
$$[\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t; p_{(k_{n_0})}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z) = \frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t$$

for every $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N(k_{n_0})\}$ and for every $\zeta \in L$.

Thus, it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,2,\cdots,N(k_{n_0})\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z), f_i(z)) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\max_{j\in\{1,2,\cdots,N(k_{n_0})\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L'} |[\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z) - (\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t)| =$$

$$= 0 < \frac{1}{s} < \varepsilon.$$

We also have that:

$$\sup_{z\in L''} |\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t - \phi(z)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since the polynomials $A_{n_0}(z) + dz^t$ and $B_{n_0}(z)$ have no common zeros, we have that:

$$\min_{z\in L'\cup K} |A_{n_0}(z) + dz^t B_{n_0}(z)|^2 + |B_{n_0}(z)|^2 > 0.$$

One can verify that these polynomials are the ones given by the Jacobi formulas for the function:

$$[\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z)$$

for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $B_{n_0}(\zeta) \neq 0$ and for every $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N(k_{n_0})\}$.

Since every connected component of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus L''$ contains a connected component of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \Omega$, every zero of $B_{n_0}(z)$ in $\Omega \setminus L''$ lies in the same connected componet of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus L''$ with a point in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \Omega$. Therefore we may approximate the function:

$$\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t$$

by a function $g \in H(\Omega)$. The approximations is uniform on L'' with respect to the Euclidean distance. Since $L \subseteq (L'')^o$, there exists $r > 0$ such that:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \zeta| \leq r\} \subseteq (L'')^o \text{ for all } \zeta \in L.$$

Now Cauchy estimates allow us to show that a finite number of Taylor coefficients of g with center $\zeta \in L$ are uniformly close to the corresponding coefficients of:

$$\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t.$$

It is now easy to see that g satisfies all requirements; the only difference of g from $\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t$ is that it is not true that $[g; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z) = g(z)$, but instead it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,2,\cdots,N(k_{n_0})\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L'} |[g; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z) - g(z)| \leq$$

$$\max_{j\in\{1,2,\cdots,N(k_{n_0})\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L'} |[g; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z) - [\frac{A_{n_0}(z)}{B_{n_0}(z)} + dz^t; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z)| +$$

$$+ \max_{j\in\{1,2,\cdots,N(k_{n_0})\}} \sup_{z\in L'} |[\frac{A(z)}{B(z)} + dz^t; p_{k_{n_0}}/q_j^{(k_{n_0})}]_\zeta(z) - g(z)|$$

where the last two terms are small enough because $p_{k_{n_0}}$ and $q_j^{(k_{n_0})}$ are already fixed and thus we know which set of Taylor coefficients we have to control. Baire's theorem completes the proof.

■

We present now two consequences of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed point. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that $\min\{q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in L'} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L' \subseteq \Omega$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. It suffices to apply Theorem 4.1 for $L = \{\zeta\}$.

■

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose that:

$$\min\{q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$, there exists a $n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \geq n(L)$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.1 for $L = L' = L_k$ and for $K = K_m$. In that way we obtain a G_δ - dense class $\mathcal{U}_{k,m}$ of $H(\Omega)$. One can verify (by using a diagonal argument) that if $\mathcal{U}$ is the class of all functions of $H(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1) - (3)$, then it holds:

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_{k,m}.$$

The result follows once more from Baire's theorem.

■

Now we present similar results where the roles of p and q have been interchanged.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $L, L' \subseteq \Omega$ two compact sets. Let also $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ be another compact set. We consider a sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $q_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that:

$$\min\{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that the following hold:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in L'} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed point. We consider a sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $q_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that $\min\{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z \in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z \in L'} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L' \subseteq \Omega$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. It suffices to apply Theorem 4.4 for $L = \{\zeta\}$.

■

Theorem 4.6. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. We consider a sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $q_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose that:

$$\min\{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$, there exists a $n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \geq n(L)$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{z \in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{\zeta \in L} \sup_{\zeta \in L} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.4 for $L = L' = L_k$ and for $K = K_m$. In that way we obtain a G_δ - dense class $\mathcal{U}_{k,m}$ of $H(\Omega)$. One can verify (by using a diagonal argument) that if $\mathcal{U}$ is the class of all functions of $H(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1) - (3)$, then it holds:

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_{k,m}.$$

The result follows once more from Baire's theorem.

■

Next we strengthen a result from [16] conserning meromorphic functions. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. We consider the following set:

$$Z(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}\}$$

endowed with the topology of uniform convergence with respect to χ on each compact subset of Ω. It is known that $Z(\Omega)$ is a complete metric space. We denote by $M(\Omega)$ the closure in $Z(\Omega)$ of the set of all rational functions. Obviously, $M(\Omega)$ is a complete metric space itself, as a closed subspace of $Z(\Omega)$. See also [16]. In a similar way to Theorems 4.1 and 4.4, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.7. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed element. Let also $L \subseteq \Omega$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ be two compact sets. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that $\min\{q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in M(\Omega)$ with $f(\zeta) \neq \infty$ such that for every rational function h there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z),h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in L} \chi([f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z),f(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $M(\Omega)$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.1 for $L = L_k$ and for $K = K_m$ and in that way we obtain a G_δ - dense class of $M(\Omega)$; the class $\mathcal{U}(k,m)$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is the class of all functions of $M(\Omega)$ satisfying the result, then it holds:

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}(k,m).$$

The reult follows from Baire's theorem.

■

Next we state some other results whose proofs are similar to the previous ones and are omitted.

Theorem 4.8. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed point. We consider a sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that $\min\{q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in M(\Omega)$ with $f(\zeta) \neq \infty$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n},q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1,\cdots,N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z),h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in L} \chi([f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z),f(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $M(\Omega)$.

We interchange the roles of p and q.

Theorem 4.9. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed element. We consider a sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $q_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n)$ is another natural number. Suppose also that $\min\{p_1^{(n)}, p_2^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{N(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in M(\Omega)$ with $f(\zeta) \neq \infty$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, for every compact set $L \subseteq \Omega$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(2) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j=1,\cdots,N(k_n)} \sup_{z\in L} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), f(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions f satisfing $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $M(\Omega)$.

We present now two generic results for Universal Padé approximants of Type II for formal power series, without proofs which are similar to the previous ones. Thus we strengthen results from [6].

Theorem 4.10. Let $(p_n)_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_0^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose also that $\min\{q_0^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a formal power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ with $(a_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and for every function $h(z) \in M(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 0}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}$ for every $j \in \{0, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $\max_{j\in\{0,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}](z), h(z)) \to 0$, as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions satisfying (1) & (2) is G_δ - dense in both spaces $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_c)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \rho_d)$.

Theorem 4.11. Let $(q_n)_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $q_n \to +\infty$. Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_0^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose also that $\min\{p_0^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a formal power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ with $(a_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and for every function $h(z) \in M(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 0}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}$ for every $j \in \{0, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $\max_{j\in\{0,\cdots,M(k_n)\}}\sup_{z\in K}\chi([f;p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}](z),h(z))\to 0$, as $n\to+\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions satisfying (1) & (2) is G_δ - dense in in both spaces $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}},\rho_c)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}},\rho_d)$.

Now we present some generic results on $X^\infty(\Omega)$ strenghtening results from [18].

Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ be an open set. We say that a function $f\in H(\Omega)$ belongs to $A^\infty(\Omega)$ if for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ the nth derivative $f^{(n)}$ of f can be extended continuously on $\overline{\Omega}$.

In $A^\infty(\Omega)$ we consider the topology defined by the family of seminorms $\sup_{z\in L_k}|f^{(n)}(z)|$, where $\{L_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a family of compact subsets of $\overline{\Omega}$, such that for every compact subset $L\subseteq\Omega$, there exists an index $n\equiv n(L)\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $L\subseteq L_n$. For instance, it suffices to consider the family $L_n=\overline{\Omega}\cap\overline{B}(0,n)$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. It is known that with this topology $A^\infty(\Omega)$ becomes a Fréchet space.

The space $X^\infty(\Omega)$ is the closure in $A^\infty(\Omega)$ of all rational functions with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$. It is obvious that $X^\infty(\Omega)$ is a complete metric space itself, as a closed subset of $A^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.12. Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $L,L'\subseteq\overline{\Omega}$, $K\subseteq\mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\Omega}$ be some compact sets. Let also $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n\to+\infty$. Now for every $n\geq 1$, let $q_1^{(n)},\cdots,q_{M(n)}^{(n)}\in\mathbb{N}$, where $M(n)\in\mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\min\{q_1^{(n)},\cdots,q_{M(n)}^{(n)}\}\to+\infty$.

Then there exists a function $f\in X^\infty(\Omega)$ such that for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f\in D_{p_{k_n},q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta\in L$, for every $j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

(2) For every $l\in\mathbb{N}$, $\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}}\sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in L'}|[f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z)-f^{(l)}(z)|\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}}\sup_{\zeta\in L}\sup_{z\in K}\chi([f;p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z),h(z))\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions $f\in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.13. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a fixed point. Let also $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n \to +\infty$. Now for every $n \geq 1$, let $q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\min\{q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ such that for every rational function h and for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{z\in L} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0.$$

as $n \to +\infty$

(3) $\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1) - (3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.14. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n \to +\infty$. Now for every $n \geq 1$, let $q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\min\{q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ there exists an index $n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \geq n(L)$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L} |[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions $f \in X^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Now we interchange the roles of p and q.

Theorem 4.15. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $L, L' \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ be some compact sets. Let also $(q_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $q_n \to +\infty$. Now for every $n \geq 1$, let $p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\min\{p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$.

Then there exists a function $f \in X^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L'} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions $f \in X^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.16. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\zeta \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a fixed point. Let also $(q_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $q_n \to +\infty$. Now for every $n \geq 1$, let $p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\min\{p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in X^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\Omega}$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{z\in L} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) $\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.17. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $(q_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $q_n \to +\infty$. Now for every $n \geq 1$, let $p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\min\{p_1^{(n)}, \cdots, p_{M(n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and for every rational function h, there exists a subsequence $(q_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(q_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying the following:

(1) For every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ there exists an index $n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in D_{p_j^{(k_n)}, q_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in L$, for every $j \in \{1, \cdots, M(k_n)\}$ and for every $n \geq n(L)$.

(2) For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in L} |[f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta^{(l)}(z) - f^{(l)}(z)| \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

(3) For every compact set $L \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, it holds:

$$\max_{j\in\{1,\cdots,M(k_n)\}} \sup_{\zeta\in L} \sup_{z\in K} \chi([f; p_j^{(k_n)}/q_{k_n}]_\zeta(z), h(z)) \to 0$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, the set of all functions $f \in X^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $(1)-(3)$ is dense and G_δ in $X^\infty(\Omega)$.

5 Affine and algebraic genericities of two classes of functions

In this section we deal with the class of functions on a simply connected domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the requirements of Theorem 1.1 in the Introduction for a fixed center of expansion $\zeta \in \Omega$: the class $\mathcal{A}$.

We construct a particular function f in the above class. Our construction is based on the observation that $[f; p/q]_\zeta(z) \equiv S_p(f, \zeta)(z)$ with $q \geq 1$ if and only if $a_{p+1} = a_{p+2} = \cdots = a_{p+q} = 0$, where $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(z-\zeta)^n$ is the Taylor expansion of the function f, centered at $\zeta \in \Omega$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed point. Let also $(p_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $p_n \to +\infty$. Also, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $N(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there exists a function $f \in H(\Omega)$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n (z-\zeta)^n$ satisfying the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement and for every function $h \in A(K)$, there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $\sup_{z\in K} |S_{p_{k_n}}(f,\zeta)(z) - h(z)| \to 0$, as $n \to +\infty$.

(2) $\sup_{z\in J} |S_{p_{k_n}}(f,\zeta)(z) - f(z)| \to 0$, as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$.

Furthermore, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $a_{p_{k_n}} \neq 0$ and $a_{p_{k_n}+s} = 0$ for every $s = 1, \cdots, \max\{q_1^{(k_n)}, \cdots, q_{N(k_n)}^{(k_n)}\}$.

Proof. Let $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ be an enumeration of polynomials with coefficients of $\mathbb{Q}+i\mathbb{Q}$. Let also $\{K_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ and $\{L_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be two families of compact subsetes of $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. The set $\{(K_m, f_j) : m, j \geq 1\}$ is infinite denumerable and thus we consider a function $t : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{(K_m, f_j) : m, j \geq 1\} = \{(K_{m_t}, f_{j_t}) : t \geq 1\}$, where we suppose that each pair (K_{m_t}, f_{j_t}) appears infinitely many times. In any other case, see Remark 5.2.

Step 1. We consider the function $w_1(z) = \begin{cases} f_{j_1}(z) & \text{if } z \in K_{m_1} \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in L_1 \end{cases}$.

We notice that $w_1 \in A(K_{m_1} \cup L_1)$. We apply Mergelyan's theorem and we find a polynomial h_1 such that $\sup_{z\in K_{m_1}\cup L_1} |w_1(z) - h_1(z)| < 1$. We consider an index $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $deg(h_1(z)) < p_{k_1}$. Next, we select a $c_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that:

$$\sup_{z\in K_{m_1}\cup L_1} |w_1(z) - (h_1(z) + c_1(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_1}})| < 1.$$

Note that such a choice is possible. We set $H_1(z) = h_1(z) + c_1(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_1}}$. Clearly the function H_1 is a polynomial with $deg(H_1(z)) = p_{k_1}$. Finally, we select $t_1 \geq 1 + \max\{q_1^{(1)}, \cdots, q_{N(1)}^{(1)}\}$.

Step 2. We consider the function $w_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{j_2}(z) - H_1(z)}{(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_1}+t_1}} & \text{if } z \in K_{m_2} \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in L_2 \end{cases}$

We notice that $w_1 \in A(K_{m_2} \cup L_2)$. We apply Mergelyan's theorem and we find a polynomial h_2 such that:

$$\sup_{z \in K_{m_2} \cup L_2} |w_2(z) - h_2(z)| < \frac{1}{2^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\max_{z \in K_{m_2} \cup L_2} |z-\zeta|^{p_{k_1}+t_1} + 1}.$$

We consider an index $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $deg(h_2(z) \cdot (z-\zeta)^{p_{k_1}+t_1}) < p_{k_2}$. Next, we select a $c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that:

$$\sup_{z \in K_{m_2} \cup L_2} |w_2(z) - ((z-\zeta)^{p_{k_1}+t_1} h_2(z) + c_2(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_2}}| < \frac{1}{2^2}.$$

Note that such a choice is possible. We set $H_2(z) = (z-\zeta)^{p_{k_1}+t_1} h_2(z) + c_2(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_2}}$. Clearly the function H_2 is a polynomial with $deg(H_2(z)) = p_{k_2}$. Finally, we select $t_2 \geq 1 + \max\{q_1^{(2)}, \cdots, q_{N(2)}^{(2)}\}$.

Step n. So far we have defined the polynomials $H_1, \cdots, H_{n-1}$ with $deg(H_i(z)) = p_{k_i}$ for every $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. We consider the function:

$$w_n(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{j_n}(z) - (H_1(z) + \sum_{N=1}^{n-2} (z-\zeta)^{p_{k_N}+t_N} H_{N+1})}{(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_{n-1}}+t_{n-1}}} & \text{if } z \in K_{m_n} \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in L_n \end{cases}.$$

We notice that $w_n \in A(K_{m_n} \cup L_n)$. We apply Mergelyan's theorem and we find a polynomial h_n such that:

$$\sup_{z \in K_{m_n} \cup L_n} |w_n(z) - h_n(z)| < \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\max_{z \in K_{m_n} \cup L_n} |z-\zeta|^{p_{k_{n-1}}+t_{n-1}} + 1}.$$

We consider an index $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$deg((z-\zeta)^{p_{k_{n-1}}+t_{n-1}} \cdot h_n(z)) < p_{k_n}.$$

Next, we select a $c_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that:

$$\sup_{z \in K_{m_n} \cup L_n} |w_n(z) - ((z-\zeta)^{p_{k_{n-1}}} h_n(z) + c_n(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_n}})| < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Note that such a choice is possible. We set $H_n(z) = (z-\zeta)^{p_{k_{n-1}}} h_n(z) + c_n(z-\zeta)^{p_{k_n}}$. Clearly the function H_n is a polynomial with $deg(H_n(z)) = p_{k_n}$.

From Weierstrass's theorem, the sequence of polynomials:

$$\{H_1(z) + H_2(z) + \cdots + H_n(z) + \cdots\}_{n\geq 2}$$

converges uniformly on every compact subset $J \subseteq \Omega$ to a function $f \in H(\Omega)$. We notice that from Cauchy's integral formula, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds:

$$S_{p_{k_n}}(f,\zeta)(z) = H_1(z) + \sum_{N=1}^{p_{k_n}-1} H_{N+1}(z).$$

We will show that the function f meets the requirements of Theorem 5.1.

- Let $J \subseteq \Omega$ be a compact set. We consider an index $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J \subseteq L_k$. Then:

  $$\sup_{z\in L_k} |S_{p_{k_n}}(f,\zeta)(z) - f(z)| \leq \sum_{s=M(n)}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \to 0$$

  as $n \to +\infty$, where $M(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M(n) \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$ as well.
- Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}\backslash\Omega$ be a compact set with connected complement, $h \in A(K)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We consider an index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subseteq K_m$. We use Mergelyan's theorem in order to find a polynomial f_j such that $||h - f_j||_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then, according to our initial hypothesis, $(K_m, f_j) = (K_{m_t}, f_{j_t})$ for infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, according to the construction of f, it holds $||f_{j_t} - S_{p_{k_{j_t}}}(f,\zeta)||_{K_{m_t}} < \frac{1}{t^2}$ for infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently, $||f_j - S_{p_{k_{j_t}}}(f,\zeta)||_{K_m} < \frac{1}{t^2}$ for infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{N}$. For $t \to +\infty$, we select a $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||f_j - S_{p_{k_{j_{t_0}}}}(f,\zeta)||_{K_m} < \frac{1}{{t_0}^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. The triangle inequality yields now the result.

■

Remark 5.2. We notice that in the previous proof we can also use an enumeration $(K_{m_t}, f_{j_t}), t \in \mathbb{N}$ where every pair (K_m, f_j) appears only once. This alters only the last part of the proof, where we consider a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement, a function $h \in A(K)$ and we want to approximate uniformly on K the function h by a subsequence of the partial sums $\{S_n(f,\zeta)\}_{n\geq 1}$ of the function f we have already constructed.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We consider an index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subseteq K_m$. We use Mergelyan's theorem in order to find a polynomial f_j such that $||h - f_j||_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Suppose that $(K_{m_t}, f_{j_t}) = (K_m, f_j)$ only once. According to the construction of the function f, it holds $||f_{j_t} - S_{p_{k_{j_t}}}(f,\zeta)||_{K_{m_t}} < \frac{1}{t^2}$ for each such $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We notice that for every $q \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ the function $f_j + q$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$, thus there exists an index $j(q) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_j + q \equiv f_{j(q)}$. Also, for $q, q' \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ with $q \neq q'$ it holds $j(q) \neq j(q')$. In other words, there exist infinitely many indexes $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||f_j - f_i||_{K_m} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. That allows us to find an index $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $||f_j - f_{j_0}||_{K_m} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and also $\frac{1}{j_t^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Thus $||S_{p_{k_{j_t}}}(f,\zeta) - f_{j_t}||_{K_m} < \frac{1}{j_t^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. The result follows now from the triangle inequality. See also [12].

We mention that the above construction is a modification of the construction of universal Taylor series without use of Baire's theorem ([3] [8] [14] [13]).

Definition 5.3. We denote as $\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(1)})$ the set of all functions satisfying Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 5.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(1)})$ and P be a polynomial. Then $f + P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(1)}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Thus, the class $\mathcal{A}$ contains an affine dense subspace of $H(\Omega)$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is affinely generic.

Proof. This is obvious, according to the construction of the class $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(1)}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, since the condition $a_{p_{k_n}+s} = 0$ for every $s = 1, \cdots, \max\{q_1^{(k_n)}, \cdots, q_{N(k_n)}^{(k_n)}\}$ implies that $[f; p_{k_n}/q_j^{(k_n)}]_\zeta \equiv S_{p_{k_n}}(f,\zeta)$ for every $j = 1, \cdots, M(k_n)$. Further, the above equations combined with $a_{p_{k_n}} \neq 0$ imply that $f \in D_{p_{k_n}, q_j^{(k_n)}}(\zeta)$ for every $j = 1, \cdots, M(k_n)$.

■

Next we consider a finite of infinite denumerable family of systems:

$$\mathcal{A}^{(l)} = ((p_n^{(l)})_{n\geq 1}, N(l,n), q_{l,i}^{(n)} \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, N(l,n)), l \in I$$

where $I = \mathbb{N}$ or I is finite. As expected, for every $l \in I$ it holds $(p_n^{(l)})_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $p_n^{(l)} \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$, $N(l,n) \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_{l,i}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $i = 1, \cdots, N(l,n)$ and $\max\{q_{l,1}^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{l,N(l,n)}^{(n)}\} \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Each system defines a new class of functions, namely the class $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$. This is done in a similar way to the class $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(1)})$ we constructed in Theorem 5.1.

We will now show that the class $\cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ is a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$, for I a finite or an infinite denumerable set.

Theorem 5.5. Let $I \neq \emptyset$ be a finite or infinite denumerable set. We consider a family of systems $\{\mathcal{A}^{(l)}\}_{l\in I}$ as above. Then the class $\cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ is a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$ and every function $f \in \cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(z-\zeta)^n$ satisfies the following:

For every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement, for every function $h \in A(K)$ and for every $l \in I$, there exists a subsequence $(p^{(l)}_{k_n(l)})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p^{(l)}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $\sup_{z\in K} |S_{p^{(l)}_{k_n(l)}}(f,\zeta)(z) - h(z)| \to 0$, as $n \to +\infty$.

(2) $\sup_{z\in J} |S_{p^{(l)}_{k_n(l)}}(f,\zeta)(z) - f(z)| \to 0$, as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$.

Furthermore, for every $l \in I$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $a_{p^{(l)}_{k_n(l)}} \neq 0$ and $a_{p^{(l)}_{k_n(l)}+s} = 0$ for every $s = 1, \cdots, \max\{q^{(k_n(l))}_{l,1}, \cdots, q^{(k_n(l))}_{l,N(l,k_n(l))}\}$.

Proof. The proof is based on the one of Theorem 5.1. We examine each one of the two cases seperately.

(1) The set I is finite. In this case, without loss of the generality, we suppose that it holds $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$, for an index $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

In each step of the proof we repeat the same arguments as we did in the one of Theorem 5.1, but this time the specific argument is used for a different sequence. Refer to the following table for details.

Sequence	Selection $(p^{(1)}_n)_{n\geq 1}$	Selection $(p^{(2)}_n)_{n\geq 1}$	$\cdots$	Selection $(p^{(N)}_n)_{n\geq 1}$
Step 1	●	-	$\cdots$	-
Step 2	-	●	$\cdots$	-
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
Step N	-	-	$\cdots$	●
Step $N+1$	●	-	$\cdots$	-
Step $N+2$	-	●	$\cdots$	-
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
Step $2N$	-	-	$\cdots$	●
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\cdots$	$\vdots$

The class $\cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ is proved to be a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$ in the same way. In addition, every function $f \in \cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ meets the requirements of Theorem 5.5 in the same way as in Theorem 5.1.

(2) The set I is infinite denumerable. In this case, without loss of the generality, we suppose that it holds $I = \mathbb{N}$.

In each step of the proof we repeat the same arguments as we did in the one of Theorem 5.1, but this time the specific argument is used for a different sequence. Refer to the following table for details.

Sequence	Selection $(p_n^{(1)})_{n\geq 1}$	Selection $(p_n^{(2)})_{n\geq 1}$	Selection $(p_n^{(3)})_{n\geq 1}$	$\cdots$ $\cdots$	Selection $(p_n^{(N)})_{n\geq 1}$	$\cdots$ $\cdots$
Step 1	●	-	-	$\cdots$	-	$\cdots$
Step 2	●	●	-	$\cdots$	-	$\cdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\cdots$
Step N	●	●	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	●	$\cdots$
Step $N+1$	●	●	●	$\cdots$	●	●
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\cdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\cdots$

The class $\cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ is proved to be a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$ in the same way. In addition, every function $f \in \cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ meets the requirements of Theorem 5.5 in the same way as in Theorem 5.1.

■

Proposition 5.6. Let $I \neq \emptyset$ be a finite or infinite denumerable set, $\{\mathcal{A}^{(l)}\}_{l\in I}$ systems as above, $g \in \cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ and P be a polynomial. Then the function $g + P \in \cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$. It follows that the class $\cap_{l\in I}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$ is a dense subset of $H(\Omega)$.

Proof. The proof is obvious according to the definition of the classes $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(l)})$, $l \in I$.

■

We give now the definition of a new class of functions, namely the class $\mathcal{A}'$, which is larger than the class $\mathcal{A}$; i.e. $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$.

Definition 5.7. Let $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $p_n \to +\infty$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_1^{(n)}, \cdots, q_{N(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(n) \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $\zeta \in \Omega$ be a fixed element. Then there exists a holomorphic function $f \in H(\Omega)$ with Taylor expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta)}{n!}(z-\zeta)^n$ such that for every polynomial h and for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ with connected complement there exists a subsequence $(p_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that the following hold:

(i) The Padé approximant $[f; p_{k_n}/q^{(k_n)}_{\sigma(k_n)}]_\zeta$ exists for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every selection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sigma(k_n) \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(ii) $\sup_{z\in K} |[f; p_{k_n}/q^{(k_n)}_{\sigma(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - h(z)| \to 0$ for every selection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sigma(k_n) \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

(iii) $\sup_{z\in J} |[f; p_{k_n}/q^{(k_n)}_{\sigma(k_n)}]_\zeta(z) - f(z)|$ for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$ and for every selection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sigma(k_n) \in \{1, \cdots, N(k_n)\}$.

The class $\mathcal{A}'$ is defined as the set of all functions satisfying Definition 5.7. In addition it holds $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$, according to the definition of the class $\mathcal{A}$.

The main result of this section is that there exists a dense subspace V of $H(\Omega)$ such that $V \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$. This implies that the class $\mathcal{A}'$ is algebraically generic in $H(\Omega)$ and strengthens a result of [2].

Theorem 5.8. There exists a dense vector subspace V of $H(\Omega)$ such that $V \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. Let $\{K_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ and $\{L_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be two families of compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. Let also $\{f_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be an enumeration of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$.

Step 1. We consider a function $g_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(1)})$ so that the following hold:

(1) $\rho(g_1, f_1) < \frac{1}{1}$.

(2) For every $m \geq 1$ there exists a subsequence $(p^{(1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

$$\sup_{z\in K_m} |S_{p^{(1)}_{m,n}}(g_1, \zeta)(z) - 0| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

$$\sup_{z\in J} |S_{p^{(1)}_{m,n}}(g_1, \zeta)(z) - g_1(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

for every compact $J \subseteq \Omega$.

So at the end of **Step 1** we have constructed infinitely many subsequences of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$; the sequences $(p^{(1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ for $m \geq 1$.

Step 2. We consider the system:

$$\mathcal{A}^{((1),m)} = ((p^{(1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}, N(((1), m), n), q^{(n)}_{i,((1),m)}$$

$$\text{for } i = 1, \cdots, N(((1), m), n), m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

According to Theorem 5.5, we yield the following:

There exists a function $g_2 \in \cap_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{((1),m)})$ so that the following hold:

(1) $\rho(g_2, f_2) < \frac{1}{2}$.

(2) For every $m \geq 1$ there exists a subsequence $(p^{(2)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p^{(1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

$$\sup_{z\in K_m} |S_{p^{(2)}_{m,n}}(g_2, \zeta)(z) - 0| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

$$\sup_{z\in J} |S_{p^{(2)}_{m,n}}(g_2, \zeta)(z) - g_2(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

for every compact $J \subseteq \Omega$.

So at the end of **Step 2** we have constructed infinitely many subsequences of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$, since for every $m \geq 1$ the sequence $(p^{(2)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ is a subsequence of $(p^{(1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$.

Step N. We consider the system:

$$\mathcal{A}^{((N),m)} = ((p^{(N-1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}, N(((N-1), m), n), q^{(n)}_{i,((N-1),m)}$$

$$\text{for } i = 1, \cdots, N(((N-1), m), n), m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

According to Theorem 5.5, we yield the following:

There exists a function $g_N \in \cap_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{((N),m)})$ so that the following hold:

(1) $\rho(g_N, f_N) < \frac{1}{N}$.

(2) For every $m \geq 1$ there exists a subsequence $(p^{(N)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $(p^{(N-1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ such that:

$$\sup_{z\in K_m} |S_{p^{(N)}_{m,n}}(g_N, \zeta)(z) - 0| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

$$\sup_{z\in J} |S_{p^{(N)}_{m,n}}(g_N, \zeta)(z) - g_N(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

for every compact $J \subseteq \Omega$.

So at the end of **Step N** we have constructed infinitely many subsequences of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 1}$, since for every $m \geq 1$ the sequence $(p^{(N)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$ is a subsequence of $(p^{(N-1)}_{m,n})_{n\geq 1}$.

We consider now the linear span $< g_n : n \geq 1 > \subseteq H(\Omega)$. Let $j_1 < \cdots < j_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{j_1}, \cdots, a_{j_s} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We set $g \equiv a_{j_1} g_{j_1} + \cdots + a_{j_s} g_{j_s}$. Our aim is to prove that the function g is a universal Taylor series and belong to the class $\mathcal{A}'$. Thus, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ be a compact set with connected complement and $h \in A(K)$. We consider an index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subseteq K_m$. Since $g_{j_s} \in \cap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{((j_s),m)})$, there exists a subsequence $(p^{(j_s)}_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence $(g^{(j_s)}_{m,n})_{n \geq 1}$ such that:

(1) $\sup_{z \in K_m} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_s}, \zeta)(z) - \frac{h(z)}{a_{j_s}}| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(2) $\sup_{z \in J} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_s}, \zeta)(z) - g_{j_s}(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$.

Since $(p^{(j_s)}_{k_n})_{n \geq 1}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $(g^{(j_s)}_{m,n})_{n \geq 1}$ we obtain that for every $t < s$ it holds:

(1) $\sup_{z \in K_m} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_t}, \zeta)(z) - 0| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

(2) $\sup_{z \in J} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_t}, \zeta)(z) - g_{j_t}(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$.

Thus, it follows that:

(1)
$$\sup_{z \in K_m} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g, \zeta)(z) - h(z)| =$$

$$= \sup_{z \in K_m} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(a_{j_1} g_{j_1} + \cdots + a_{j_s} g_{j_s}, \zeta)(z) - h(z)| =$$

$$= \sup_{z \in K_m} |a_{j_1} S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_1}, \zeta)(z) + \cdots + a_{j_s} S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_s}, \zeta)(z) - h(z)| \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in K_m} |a_{j_1} S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_1}, \zeta)(z)| + \cdots + \sup_{z \in K_m} |a_{j_{s-1}} S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_{s-1}}, \zeta)(z)| +$$

$$+ \sup_{z \in K_m} |a_{j_1} S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g_{j_s}, \zeta)(z) - h(z)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

(2) $\sup_{z\in J} |S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g,\zeta)(z) - g(z)| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$

for every compact set $J \subseteq \Omega$.

Finally, we notice that for every $n \geq 1$ it holds: $[g; p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}/q^{(k_n)}_{(j_s),i}]_\zeta(z) = S_{p^{(j_s)}_{k_n}}(g,\zeta)(z)$ for every $i = 1, \cdots N((j_s), k_n)$.

$\blacksquare$

Remark 5.9. In the above construction we may have that $a_{p_{k_n}} = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which would imply that $g \notin D_{p_{k_n}, p_{k_n}}(\zeta)$ and also that $g \notin \mathcal{A}$. But still we have that $g \in \mathcal{A}'$.

Remark 5.10. If $q^{(i)}_n \neq 0$ then every function belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}'$ has some Taylor coefficients equal to zero. It follows that $\mathcal{A}'$ is meager in $H(\Omega)$. Since the set of universal Taylor series is dense and G_δ in $H(\Omega)$ it follows that the result of Theorem 5.8 can not be deduced from the known results about algebraic genericity of the set of universal Taylor series.

References

[1] Baker Jr. G. A. and Graves - Morris P. R. *Convergence of rows of the Padé table (J. Math. Anal. Vol. 57 (1977) 323 - 339).*

[2] Charpentier S.; Nestoridis V. and Wielonsky F. *Generic properties of Padé approximants and Padé universal series (arxiv: 1404.3409).*

[3] Chui C. and Parnes M. N. *Approximation by overconvergence of power series (J. Math. Anal. Appl. 36 (1971) 693 - 696).*

[4] Daras N. and Nestoridis V. *Universal Padé Approximation (arxiv: 1102.4782).*

[5] Daras N.; Fournodaulos G. and Nestoridis V. *Universal Padé approximants on simply connected domains (arxiv: 1501.02381).*

[6] Daras N.; Nestoridis V. and Papadimitropoulos C. *Universal Padé approximants of Seleznev type (Arch. Math. (Basel) 100 (2013) No. 6, 571 - 585).*

[7] Fournodaulos G. and Nestoridis V. *Generic approximation of functions by their Padé approximants (JMAA, vol. 408, issue 2 (2013), p. 744 - 750).*

[8] Luh W. *Approximation analytischer Funktionen durch überkonvergente Potenzreihen und deren Matrix - Tranformierten (Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen 88 (1970) 1 - 56).*

[9] Makridis K. *Simultaneous Universal Padé - Taylor Approximation (submitted).*

[10] Makridis K. *Simultaneous Universal Padé - Taylor Approximation (arxiv: 1503.02856).*

[11] Melas A. and Nestoridis V. *Universality of Taylor series as a generic property of holomorphic functions (Adv. Math. 157 (2001) 138 - 176).*

[12] Melas A.; Nestoridis V. and Papadoperakis I. *Growth of coefficients of universal Taylor series and comparison of two classes of functions (J. Anal. Math. 73 (1997) 187 - 202).*

[13] Mouze A.; Vestoridis V.; Papadoperakis I. and Tsirivas N. *Determination of a universal series (CMFT'12 (2012) No 1, 173 - 199).*

[14] Nestoridis V. *A constructive proof of the existence of universal Taylor series (preprint, Department de Mathematiques, Universite d' Orleans, BP. 6759, 45067 Orleans cedex 2 France (1996)).*

[15] Nestoridis V. *An extension of the notion of Universal Taylor series (Computational Methods and Function Theory CMFT'97, Nicosia, Cyprus, 1997, 421 - 430).*

[16] Nestoridis V. *Universal Padé approximants with respect to the chordal metric (Izv. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armenii Mat. 47 (2012) No. 4 13 - 34, translation in J. Contemp. Math. Anal. 47 (2012) No. 4, 168 - 181).*

[17] Nestoridis V. *Universal Taylor series (Ann. Inst. Fourier 46 (1996) 1293 - 1306).*

[18] Nestoridis V. and Zadik I. *Padé approximants, density of rational functions in* $A^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *and smoothness of the integration operator (J. Math. Anal. Appl. 423 (2014), No. 2, 1514 - 1539).*

[19] Rudin W. *Real and complex analysis (Mc Graw - Hill, New York (1966)).*

University of Athens
Department of Mathematics
157 84 Panepistimioupolis
Athens
Greece

Email Address:
K. Makridis (kmak167@gmail.com)
V. Nestoridis (vnestor@math.uoa.gr)